

## REMARKS

In paragraph 1 of the Office Action claims 4, 6, and 9 are objected to as not properly indicated in the previous amendment. They were indicated as "original" rather than "withdrawn". By the amendment above, they have been properly labeled as "withdrawn". Therefore, withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.


In paragraph 3 claims 15 and 16 stand rejected under 35 USC 112 as indefinite. The Examiner states that the phrase "the sheet material comprises a laminated web structure" is unclear. It is respectfully requested that this rejection be reconsidered and withdrawn as the claims have been amended to make them clear and definite.

It is gratefully noted that claims 1-3, 7, 8, 10, 11, 17, and 18 are allowed.

It is respectfully urged that claims 15 and 16 as amended to overcome the 35 USC 112 rejection are allowable. Claims 4, 6, and 9 have been amended to overcome the objection to these claims. It is respectfully urged that all claims in this application are in condition for allowance.

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the objections to the claims and the rejection under 35 USC 112 be reconsidered and withdrawn and that an early Notice of Allowance be issued in this application.

Respectfully submitted,



\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Registration No.

Paul A. Leipold/rgd  
Rochester, NY 14650  
Telephone: (585) 722-5023  
Facsimile: (585) 477-148